Lab Benchmarking Symposium Introduction



Alison Farmer

Purpose of the Symposium

- Describe state of lab benchmarking today
- Introduce the I²SL Lab Benchmarking Working Group
- Reveal latest updates to Labs21 Benchmarking Tool
- Hear your feedback on next steps

- Whole building energy benchmarking
- Comparing buildings' energy consumption
 - Contextualizing
 - Prioritizing
 - Ranking
 - Certifying



Benchmarking for Lab Buildings

- Important
- In demand
- Difficult!
 - Complex and varied functional requirements



The Labs21 Benchmarking Tool

- Online crowdsourced database:
 - Lab building energy usage
 - Lab-specific functional requirements
- Released in 2002
- Developed by LBNL for Labs21 program



 Select a peer group of buildings from database and compare energy usage

The I²SL Lab Benchmarking Working Group

- Volunteers from I²SL community
- Formed in 2014
- Mostly focused on Labs21 Benchmarking Tool
 - Preservation
 - Understanding usage and needs
 - Maintenance
 - Upgrades
- Group meeting Wednesday 1pm all welcome



Working Group Achievements (So Far)

- Kept the lights on!
- 2015 Lab Benchmarking Survey
- New regression analysis of tool data
- Website upgrades
- Identified future potential upgrades



Thank You Working Group

Thanks to all group members!

Special thanks to:

- Presentation team: Jacob Werner, Hadley Stolte
- Website team: Hadley Stolte, David Cohen
- Regression and survey analysis: Tim Deak
- FAQs team: Michelle Ruda, David Landman
- Secretary: Michelle Ruda
- From LBNL: Paul Mathew, Travis Walter, Dan Fuller

Symposium Agenda

Jacob:

- The demand for lab benchmarking
- Lab benchmarking datasets and tools

Alison (subbing for Hadley):

- The Labs21 tool: history and data
- Industry demand for improvements
- Upgrades made so far

Alison:

- Possible next steps
- Open discussion

Symposium Agenda

Jacob:

- The demand for lab benchmarking
- Lab benchmarking datasets and tools

Alison (subbing for Hadley):

- The Labs21 tool: history and data
- Industry demand for improvements
- Upgrades made so far

Alison:

- Possible next steps
- Open discussion

Lab Benchmarking Symposium

Evolution of the Labs21 Benchmarking Tool



Alison Farmer



Hadley Stolte

Learning Objectives

- Describe how to access the latest lab data from the Labs21 peer group database
- Identify how to avoid the most common mistakes made by tool users
- Master the newly added features of the Labs21
 benchmarking tool
- Demonstrate the benefits of the I²SL/LBNL collaborative efforts on lab benchmarking

Labs21 Benchmarking Tool: Purpose

- Benchmarking by data filtering
- Select a peer group of buildings for comparison
 - Lab area ratio
 - Lab type
 - Lab use
 - Lab occupancy hours
 - Climate zone
- Compare energy use intensity with peer group



Labs21 Benchmarking Tool: History

- Developed by LBNL for Labs21 program
- Public since August 2002

http://labs21benchmarking.lbl.gov



- Currently ~40 new buildings per year
- Half of data is less than 5 years old



- Spread across country
- The usual concentrations
- •6 from Missouri!





- 122 million sf of buildings
- 58 million sf of lab space

5-10% of total!



- 122 million sf of buildings
- 58 million sf of lab space

5-10% of total!

Lab Use

- Large spread of energy intensity
- Average source EUI: 630 kBtu/sf/yr
- Average site EUI: 319 kBtu/sf/yr
- Median lab area: 41%

Labs21 Benchmarking Tool: Summary

- Rich dataset
- Unprecedented in size
- Enormous potential

• But not perfect...

Many have used the tool at least once

- Common reasons for not using tool:
 - Unaware of existence
 - Confusing interface and output
 - Data perceived to be limited and old
 - NMJ

Survey results: Complaints

Only 10% of those with data submit it

- No time
- No permission
- Unaware of tool
- NMJ
- Dataset not worth it

Survey results: Desires

More Data

- More buildings
- More detail / metrics

Favorite metrics

- Site EUI
- End use breakdown
- Air change rate

- Spoiled data entries
 - Unit conversion errors
 - Misunderstandings
 - Data rejected from peer group
- Hosting and funding

Issues Solutions

Perception of age Interface upgrade Perception of limited data **Display # buildings** Confusing interface Improved FAQs Frustrating interface Live data checks Data submission errors Select all climate zones Data quality issues WG could validate data Funding gaps Outreach and promotion Aging servers — Server upgrades

The New Look

"**2002**"

"2016"

Before

The purpose of this benchmarking database tool is to allow laboratory owners to compare the performance of their laboratory facilities to similar facilities and thereby help identify potential energy cost savings opportunities. The tool will allow benchmarking with energy use metrics (e.g. BTU/sf/yr) as well as system efficiency metrics (e.g. W/cfm).

To benchmark a facility, you will need to input facility characteristics (e.g. lab type, gross area) and energy use data (e.g. annual electricity use). Although measured data is preferred, estimated data may also be provided. The data you provide will remain anonymous to other users of the database.

Note: You will be prompted for a username and password in order to enter data and benchmark your lab. You may input data over multiple sessions. If you only wish to view the data, without inputing data for your lab, login is not required.

- Acquire a username and password, or edit your existing profile
- Bulk data input spreadsheet (to input 5 or more facilities)
- Guidance on how to use this tool for LEED-EB

Frequently Asked Questions

After

Welcome to the Labs21 Benchmarking Tool!

Use this tool to compare the energy use of your lab buildings with that of similar facilities in the US. The tool's database contains owner-submitted data from an ever-growing number of lab facilities.

Buildings in database: 639

Last database update: July 2016

Enter Data

Enter your data into the database. Your facilities appear in output reports. Username and password required.

View Data

View data already in the database. Output reports show database facilities only. No login required.

Live Data Checks

Continue

Peer Group Selection: Before

4. Lab Use

- Research/Development
- Manufacturing
- Climate [Climate Code, Climate Type, Representative City]
 - (Click here to see map of climate zones)
 - 1A, Very Hot Humid (Miami, FL)
 - 2B, Hot Dry (Phoenix, AZ)
 - 3B, Warm Dry (El Paso, TX)
 - 4A, Mixed Humid (Baltimore, MD)
 - 4C, Mixed Marine (Salem, OR)
 - 5B, Cool Dry (Boise, ID)
 - 6B, Cold Dry (Helena, MT)
 - 8, Subarctic (Fairbanks, AK)
- 6. Measured and Estimated data
 - 🗹 Measured
 - 🗹 Estimated

✓ Combination/Others
✓ Teaching

- 2A, Hot Humid (Houston, TX)
- 3A, Warm Humid (Memphis, TN)
- 3C, Warm Marine (San Francisco, CA)
- 4B, Mixed Dry (Albuquerque, NM)
- 5A, Cool Humid (Chicago, IL)
- 6A, Cold Humid (Burlington, VT)
- 7, Very Cold (Duluth, MN)

Continue...

Peer Group Selection: After

- 4. Lab Use
 - Research/Development
 - Manufacturing
- 5. Climate [Climate Code, Climate Type, Representative City]
 - (Click here to see map of climate zones)
 - All Climate Zones
 - 2A, Hot Humid (Houston, TX)
 - 3A, Warm Humid (Memphis, TN)
 - Sc, Warm Marine (San Francisco, CA)
 - 4B, Mixed Dry (Albuquerque, NM)
 - SA, Cool Humid (Chicago, IL)
 - 6A, Cold Humid (Burlington, VT)
 - 7, Very Cold (Duluth, MN)
- 6. Measured and Estimated data
 - Measured
 - Estimated

Combination/Others

Teaching

Continue

- IA, Very Hot Humid (Miami, FL)
- 2B, Hot Dry (Phoenix, AZ)
- 3B, Warm Dry (El Paso, TX)
- 4A, Mixed Humid (Baltimore, MD)
- 4C, Mixed Marine (Salem, OR)
- SB, Cool Dry (Boise, ID)
- 6B, Cold Dry (Helena, MT)
- 8, Subarctic (Fairbanks, AK)

Output Plot: Before

Output Plot: After

- Labs21 tool is a unique, valuable, and free resource
- Updates and upgrades:
 - Successful collaboration between I²SL and LBNL
 - More work is needed!

